## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

**NEIL GIFFORD LONG.** 

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-CV-10 (BAILEY)

DR. DIIONISIO ENRIQUE POLICARPIO, MD, RALPH E. PRICE, HSA MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR, PATRICK MIRANDY, Warden of St. Mary's Correctional Center, JIM RUBENSTEIN, Commissioner of WV Division of Corrections,

Defendants.

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull [Doc. 17]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Kaull for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Kaull filed his R&R on December 10, 2014, wherein he recommends this Court dismiss the plaintiff's § 1983 claims against defendants Mirandy and Rubenstein and allow the claims against defendants Policarpio and Price proceed.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. **Thomas v. Arn**, 474 U.S. 140,

150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

*Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Kaull's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on December 13, 2014 [Doc. 18]. No

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and** 

Recommendation [Doc. 17] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court

ORDERS that the plaintiff's § 1983 claims [Doc. 1] against defendants Mirandy and

Rubenstein be **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** and the plaintiff's claims

against defendants Dr. Policarpio and Ralph Price shall PROCEED. This Court further

**DIRECTS** the United States Marshals Service to **SERVE** defendants Policarpio and Price

with a copy of the summons and Complaint.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record, the

United States Marshals Service, and to mail a copy to the *pro se* plaintiff.

**DATED:** January 5, 2015.

JOHN PRESTON BAILEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE